

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/160,909	12/03/93	YAMAZAKI	8	0.756935
			WILLEZERE	EXAMINER
um an seanann Sealaine	E E L'ECONOMINA A L'ESTE	D1M1/0406	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	RATE RIDGE,	DOM & PERWISON -SULTE 600		7
MULEAN, VA	22102			,
			1107	
			DATE MAILED:	04/06/95
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS				
_			, ,	
This application has	s been examined	Responsive to communication filed on_	1/31/95	This action is made final.
A shortened statutory po	eriod for response to t	his action is set to expire <u>3</u> month	(s), days fro	om the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133				
Part I THE FOLLOW	NG ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:		
1. Notice of Re	ferences Cited by Exa	uminer, PTO-892. 2.	Notice of Draftsman's Pa	tent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
3. Notice of Art	Cited by Applicant, P	TO-1449. 4. 🔲 (Notice of Informal Patent	
5. Li Information o	on How to Effect Draw	ring Changes, PTO-1474. 6. L		·
Part II SUMMARY O	F ACTION			
1.	8-20			are pending in the application.
Of the eb				
,				
2.	<i>- +</i>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	have been cancelled.
3. Claims				_ are allowed.
4. 17 Claims 8	-20	The state of the s		are rejected.
- C alaine				
5. Claims				_ are objected to.
6. Claims		7-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-	_ are subject to restriction	on or election requirement.
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.				
8. Formal drawing	gs are required in resp	onse to this Office action.		
9. The corrected of	or substitute drawings	have been received on	. Under 37 C	C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings
	•	e (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's P		•
10. The proposed	additional or substitute	e sheet(s) of drawings, filed on	has (have) been	approved by the
examiner; 🔲	disapproved by the ex	aminer (see explanation).		
11. The proposed of	drawing correction, file	d, has been □ap	proved; disapproved	(see explanation).
12. Acknowledgem	ent is made of the cla	im for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The cert	ified copy has 12 been r	eceived not been received
☐ been filed in	parent application, se	erial no; filed on;	118/44	
13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
14. Other				
0.00				

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-326 (Rev. 2/93)

Art Unit 1107

14 J

Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of Group II, claims 8-20 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
(a) the invention was known or used by others in this
country, or patented or described in a printed publication
in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof
by the applicant for a patent.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 8-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) and 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Miyachi et al.

Miyachi et al. disclose an apparatus which comprises a film forming chamber 1 for forming an amorphous semiconductor film and a dehalogenating-hydrogenating chamber 2, see figure 5, for example. The two chambers are combined by a conveying device 13. The substrates 10 move between the two chambers without being exposed to outside air. Note in Example 14 that the dehalogenation-hydrogenation is preferably performed by light irradiation using, for example, an ultraviolet laser, a visible light laser or a carbon dioxide laser, see column 18, lines 29-43.

Art Unit 1107

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Miyachi et al. as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Yamazaki et al., U.S. Patent 4,888,305.

Miyachi et al. is applied as supra. Miyachi lacks anticipation only of introducing the laser light through a window provided in the wall of the chamber. Yamazaki et al. disclose an apparatus for photo annealing non-single crystalline silicon films in which light irradiation is carried out by irradiating the interior of a reaction chamber with an excimer laser through a window, see figure 1 and column 2, lines 38-41. Therefore, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan that the laser light used in the known method of Miyachi could be introduced

Art Unit 1107

through a window provided in the wall of the dehalogenatinghydrogenating chamber thereby allowing control of the laser without exposing the substrate to outside air.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 8 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Begin et al. in view of Miyachi et al., Nakayama et al., and Kawasaki et al. further in view of Codama.

Begin et al. disclose an apparatus for processing semiconductor wafers which includes satellite reaction chambers 60, 62, 64 and 66 disposed around the periphery of central chamber 14, see figure 1. A robot assembly 16 comprising arms 18, 20, and 22 is disposed in central chamber 14. Assembly 16 moves the substrate 12 to any position within the apparatus.

Serial No. 160,909

Art Unit 1107

فتر

Begin lacks anticipation only of disclosing that reaction chambers 60, 62, 64 and 66 comprise a light processing chamber, an etching chamber and a plasma doping chamber. apparatuses used for irradiating an amorphous silicon layer for dehalogenating and hydrogenating the layer, etching, and plasma doping are well known in the art, see Miyachi et al., Kawasaki et al., and Nakayama et al., respectively. Codama discloses a method of fabricating a thin film transistor which includes the steps of depositing an amorphous silicon layer, etching the silicon layer, the gate layer, and the gate insulating layer, plasma doping the silicon layer to form source and drain regions, see column 1, lines 42-46, and hydrogenating the layer. Therefore, in light of the semiconductor device process disclosed by Codama, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to include a light irradiation chamber, an etching chamber, and an ion introducing chamber in the known apparatus of Begin et al.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear in claim 20, line 1, what a "magic hand" is.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is

considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit 1107

The additionally cited references disclose various multichambered apparatuses for processing semiconductor devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Wilczewski whose telephone number is (703) 308-2771.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

M.Wilczewski:mm April 05, 1995 MARY WILCZEWSKI PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1100